

Monday, October 22nd 1990

Today's Topics:

New crop circle idea?
Jesus Christ! -- Benjamin Creme and Larry King
The Salisberrys
Odd Aircraft And X-31
Re: Paranet Newsletter 318
Re: New Crop Circle Idea?
Re: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms
UFO Mag
Re: Jfk's Ufo Connection?
Re: Southern Hemisphere Se
Re: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms
Re: Horse-patooties and sonic booms
Sonic Booms
Ed's video
Krill
Ed etc.
The Salisberrys

From: <RBMURDOC@colospgs.bitnet>
Subject: New crop circle idea?
Date: 20 Oct 90 03:35:12 GMT

Regarding the crop circles, has anyone considered that there may exist some chemical (organic or inorganic) compound that someone could apply on the ground that at some later time caused a reaction in the plants? One could apply this compound in a manner such that when it took affect, it would produce the described patterns. The compound could take effect over time, in reaction to prevailing wind, humidity, temperature, light, or whatever. Might even be triggered by IR, UV, RF, magnetic field, or whatever.

Further, after reading the discussion, it would appear that the circle phenomenon has appeared in other places & at other times (in the past). So, is it possible that in England we are actually seeing more than one phenomenon at the same time? Dust devils could make some of the circles, farmers wielding plant sprayers with secret solution to make some of the patters, hyper-intelligent microbes make yet more patters :-) ...

-Robert

InterNET: rbmurdoc@colospgs.edu
GEnie: R.MURDOCK

From: Tom Betz <tbetz@upaya.UCAR.EDU>
Subject: Jesus Christ! -- Benjamin Creme and Larry King
Date: 20 Oct 90 04:22:27 GMT

Larry King has always said that his fantasy interview would be with Jesus Christ.

Well, a nice Southern lady called up Larry King just now and gave him Benjamin Creme's phone number; unfortunately, it was done off the air, so I can't give it to you. Anyway, keep an eye on CNN and an ear on his Mutual Radio show, and maybe, just maybe, you'll catch Larry King fulfilling his fantasy by interviewing the Maitreya!

--

-----		hombre!marob!upaya!tbet
'Ever since the fateful day when Al heard about		
that 'Follow Your Bliss' thing, it's been just		Tom Betz - GBS
cannoli, cannoli, and more cannoli.' - Peter Hannah		(914) 375-1510

From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: The Salisberrys
Date: 20 Oct 90 08:26:00 GMT

> JS> Forgive me if I've asked this before, but what do you know
> JS> about Rex and Carol Salisbury now claiming to be convinced
> JS> that Ed is a hoaxer? I have now heard this from two
> JS> sources.
>
> Rex has never said that to me. He's generally stayed away
> from the Ed controversy. I'll give him a call this weekend
> and see if he'll say anything about it. > Rex is a very solid hardware-
>type investigator, so I
> don't think he'd be going on hearsay or opinions. > I'll pass along
>anything I find out.

While talking to them I am curious to know just what their involvement is with the Gulf Breeze/Fyffe connection. I have understood in the past that they were heavily involved with some type of connection. Also, could you expand on your statement above -- "very solid hardware-type investigator"?

Thanks,

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Odd Aircraft And X-31
Date: 20 Oct 90 08:33:00 GMT

> From: postmaster@scicom.alphacdc.com
> Date: 18 Oct 90 07:51:53 GMT
> Message-ID: <7315@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
> Newsgroups: info.paranet
>
> From: '23BMSDO' <23bmsdo@sacemnet.af.mil>
>
> Mike -
> I think there is more to the recent Roswell fly-bys than first meets the
> eye. After talking to the Flight Service Station people at Roswell field
> less than a week afterward ...they made some comments that didn't point to
> USAF aircraft. We don't drop flares and chaff on civilians.

Thanks for that text upload, Dave. Could you expand on the above statement regarding "more than meets the eye"?

I find it very interesting that suddenly we are being exposed to the probability that what we have been seeing for a long time now is, in essence, an advanced Stealth project. I personally find this explanation more viable than alien spacecraft. Can you comment on any of this?

Thanks,

Mike

is in essence the

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Tom Betz <tbetz@upaya.UCAR.EDU>
Subject: Re: Paranet Newsletter 318
Date: 20 Oct 90 22:50:56 GMT

| From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
| Subject: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms
|
| I am curious as to what part microwaves play in aerodynamics. I
| have heard that microwaves are used. For what?

You got me there.

[Speculation mode ON]

The speed of sound varies with the density of the medium.

It is possible that well-spaced high-intensity microwaves could be used to vary the temperature (and therefore, the density) of the air on the leading edge of a craft sufficiently to make for a less-uniform pressure front, and thereby reduce the coherency of a sonic boom; in effect turning it into a set of smaller sonic 'poofs'.

I haven't read anything on the subject; this is all off the top of my head. I'd be interested in what anyone else really knows about the subject.

--

----- | hombre!marob!upaya!tbet
'Ever since the fateful day when Al heard about |
that 'Follow Your Bliss' thing, it's been just | Tom Betz - GBS
cannoli, cannoli, and more cannoli.' - Peter Hannah | (914) 375-1510

From: Don.Sudduth@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Don Sudduth)
Subject: Re: New Crop Circle Idea?
Date: 20 Oct 90 12:52:00 GMT

Those are interesting possibilities, however, the magnitude of symmetry in the circles rules out dust devils and microbes.

--

Don Sudduth - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Sudduth@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Sudduth@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Don Sudduth)
Subject: Re: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms
Date: 20 Oct 90 12:58:00 GMT

I was under the impression that microwaves are used for the stealth technology. Almost like encasing the craft with a microwave sheath. Does anyone else know??

--

Don Sudduth - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Sudduth@f320.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: UFO Mag
Date: 20 Oct 90 16:55:00 GMT

Don,

I finished reading the UFO Magazine and was very interested in several aspects of it. I would like to get a discussion going about a couple of them.

For those that don't get the magazine, this issue deals with Stealth technology, which we will discuss in this message. In addition, in the "Blue File," there was an article written by Alice Bryant and Linda Seebach regarding a discovery that deepens the cattle mutilation mystery with the possible link between a chemical substance known as "beta-carbolines" found in certain excised organs of mutilated animals and human reaction to these substances which are manufactured by our bodies, but in very small quantities.

According to the article on Page 7, Byrant and Seebach found an article in the 1989 issue of "Brain/Mind Bulletin," which reports "...that pharmacologist J.C. Callaway of the University of California has hypothesized that the visions of dream sleep are activated by psychedelic substances known as beta-carbolines. Historically, beta-carboline substances in plants have been used to produce group visions, far-seeing, out-of-body experiences, clairvoyance and as an aid to predicting the future." Where other than plants are beta-carbolines found? "...In human urine, blood plasma and platelets, cerebral spinal fluid, the retina and the pineal gland, parts that have been found missing from the

mutilated animals."

Bryant and Seebach speculate further by adding, "Since many contactee/abductee experiences occur during the dream state, is there a possible linkage between the missing parts of mutilation phenomena and beta-carboline induction of dreams? Is a mind connection substance being manufactured and utilized from biologically compatible materials found in animals?"

Although this sounds a highly speculative and the link appears at best, weak, it does provide some food for thought. Missing from the piece is solid theory as to why the carcasses are being left for discovery and a host of other things that make the mutilation mystery so elusive to understanding.

My congratulations to Vicki Cooper for having the guts to advance the thought that the rash of sightings that have occurred since the early 80s may be the work of Stealth technology being tested. As Vicki states in her article, "Techology Gets the Edge on UFOs," "In our offbeat territory, lay discrimination between 'flying saucers' and 'advanced aircraft' has become the hugely difficult task at hand."

Could the mystery surrounding UFOs be incarnated in the advanced new aeronautic technology, and has this technology been influenced by perhaps out-of-this-world architecture? This is what we must consider.

Vicki further states, "The extent of that crossover and its usefulness in secret flight operations might serve to shore up the debunkers' arguments, and deliver a pretty solid blow to the ET hypothesis, but can we be certain the technology is altogether human?" Food for thought.

I would like to see some discussion on these points.

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f110.n207.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Brian.Redman@f2.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Brian Redman)
Subject: Re: Jfk's Ufo Connection?
Date: 19 Oct 90 01:34:00 GMT

> Is there any known connection whatsoever to the assassination of JFK
> and UFOs?

> --- ZMailQ 1.10 @1:207/109.0
> * Origin: ParaNet(sm) Information Service HQ - [714]985-0666 LA, CA
> (1:207/320

I am not as knowledgeable as most others on this forum, so I hesitate to reply. Yet apparently no one else has, so here goes:

What is noteworthy to me is that the mafia theorists don't acknowledge the UFO theorists who don't acknowledge the seven sisters of oil/Ari Onassis/Illuminati theorists who don't acknowledge the mafia theorists.

So basically it appears to be like any other research area, with jealously guarded turf and over-specialization.

The UFO theory as I understand it is JFK was about to reveal to the American people the truth about the Krill insectoids living underground in New Mexico and so these same Krills ordered JFK's assassination which was carried out by means of possibly the Trilateral commission.

And how was your day?.....

--

Brian Redman - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Brian.Redman@f2.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Brian.Redman@f2.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Brian Redman)
Subject: Re: Southern Hemisphere Se
Date: 19 Oct 90 01:43:08 GMT

Good post! Thanks for the info.

--

Brian Redman - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Brian.Redman@f2.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Mike.Kraus@f10.n371.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Mike Kraus)
Subject: Re: Horse-patooties And Sonic Booms
Date: 21 Oct 90 23:20:00 GMT

I was under the impression that it was the paint that "absorbed the radar"

MIKE.

--

Mike Kraus - via FidoNet node 1:207/109

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Mike.Kraus@f10.n371.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Karen.Robinson@f11.n289.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Karen Robinson)
Subject: Re: Horse-patooties and sonic booms
Date: 22 Oct 90 05:48:10 GMT

p> | travelling at such a tremendous speed would HAVE to create a sonic b
p> | Since no sonic boom was reported, the entire article has to be class
p> | highly suspect.

p> (Presuming you ain't jivin' here) That ain't necessarily so.
p> Aerodynamicists have been working very hard on controlling the
p> shock wave around supersonic craft, reducing the included angle
p> of the cone, and 'wrapping' it around the craft itself, so it
p> never hits the ground, hence can not be heard.

p> It is quite possible that this has been accomplished in some of
p> these black-budget aircraft.

p> I'll review the postings again, and seek out this set of AvWeek
p> articles, to see if mention of this is made.

p> | Well, that's exactly the kind of crap you hear in the FIDO SCIENCE e
p> | anytime you mention UFOs.

p> Now, now, no need to slam these poor fools...
I am totally without knowledge on this particular subject, but couldn't
it be possible that the aircraft could travel JUST BELOW the speed that
normally would cause a boom? It would still be incredibly fast to
anyone observing. For example, if it takes an object flying at 1000 mph
(pardon my ignorance - just a guess) to cause a sonic boom, then maybe
an object flying at 900 mph or even 950 mph would be enough less speed
so as to avoid the boom. Isn't this plausible?

--
Karen Robinson - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Karen.Robinson@f11.n289.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Paul.Faeder@p0.f0.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Paul Faeder)
Subject: Sonic Booms
Date: 22 Oct 90 04:56:11 GMT

Here's a couple of messages I saved on a discussion of sonic booms right here in this echo:

067/071 02 May 90 12:10:48

From: shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu!jrblack@sci
To: All
Subj: Sonic Booms & UFOs
Attr:

From: shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu!jrblack@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM
Date: 1 May 90 19:50:21 GMT
Message-ID: <4106@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
Newsgroups: info.paranet

From: James Roger Black <jrblack@shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu>

I am neither an engineer nor a physicist, but I have read that it is possible to suppress sonic booms (as well as reduce drag) in at least two ways:

- (1) use a porous wing that sucks air in along the wing surface and vents it out the back;
- (2) emit microwaves along the wing surface to break up the laminar flow of the air.

I have also been told that the USAF has sponsored a lot of MHD and plasma research that somehow relates to this problem.

Unfortunately, I lack the technical credentials to evaluate all this, and for all I know it could be pure BS. If anybody knows for sure, I would be glad to hear it.

Speculation: I am told that the F-117A (i.e., the Stealth fighter) is subsonic. Could this be a cover story for the fact that even when flying supersonic it doesn't generate a sonic boom?

070/071 02 May 90 12:10:50

From: athertn!Atherton.COM!alex@scicom.AL
To: All
Subj: Re: Paranet Newsletter 211
Attr:

From: athertn!Atherton.COM!alex@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM
Date: 2 May 90 17:17:33 GMT
Message-ID: <4119@scicom.AlphaCDC.COM>
Newsgroups: info.paranet

From: alex@atherton.com (Alex Leavens)

Regarding Sonic Booms...

There's been a fair bit of research done on avoiding sonic booms; most

of it, unfortunately, is classified, so we can't get at it. However, a few interesting things are known--most of them have to do with imparting a fairly (er, that's _fairly_) serious electrical charge to the object (The reason much of this is classified is because it has applicability to missile nose-cones (ie nuclear warheads) during the re-entry phase of flight). The principal here seems to be that if you impart a charge to the object in the proper fashion, you can get the air molecules ahead of the object to 'move out of the way' on their own accord. So basically you're creating a hole in the air in advance of the object (be it missile nose cone or whatever) getting there; since the actual object is never running into air and shoving it aside at some huge rate, you don't get a sonic boom. (The NSA is hereby encouraged to point out any defects in my summarization, <grin>)

--

Paul Faeder - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Paul.Faeder@p0.f0.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Ed's video
Date: 21 Oct 90 20:34:00 GMT

I waded through Maccabee's report on Ed's video, so I have a few more tidbits.

I was wrong on the vertical variation. The object varied vertically in the small section of video Maccabee analysed frame-by-frame by about five to six video scan lines. He didn't say whether this was apparently real altitude variations or camera movement. At any rate, it's very small.

Also, the object appeared to change shape slightly. Not enough to see when viewing the tape, but measurably. Maccabee wrote that he thought the top or bottom of the image of the object fell in between scan lines of successive frames and that caused it to appear to change height vertically.

In general, while the video tape could be faked by using chromakey, it would require maybe \$10,000 worth of video/computer gear and the knowledge of how to use it. Chromakey is how the weatherman appears to walk around in front of a weather map on tv.

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Krill
Date: 21 Oct 90 20:50:01 GMT

Quite a while ago I read that John Lear and John Grace made up the Krill material just to see what would happen, then had to madly run around clarifying and apologizing when it was taken seriously.

Can't remember where I came across that. Anyone have any suggestions?

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Ed etc.
Date: 22 Oct 90 04:39:00 GMT

I had a conversation with Rex this evening, and he confirmed what you said.

I can't go into any details, but the terms "smoking gun" might be appropriate. Rex said he'd give me a call when he could talk freely, and you'll see it here.

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: The Salisberrys
Date: 22 Oct 90 05:32:02 GMT

> While talking to them I am curious to know just what their
> involvement is with the Gulf Breeze/Fyffe connection. I have
> understood in the past that they were heavily involved with some
> type of connection. Also, could you expand on your statement
> above -- "very solid hardware-type investigator"?

I talked with Rex before I got your message, but I have a few answers anyway.

Rex said that he'd heard of several connections between Gulf Breeze, Fyffe and Ray G., but he said he hadn't really investigated them. He said that Ray is a personal friend, so possibly that's where the idea of heavy involvement comes from. He is aware of Ray's, shall we say, accuracy of predictions.

Rex tends to look for evidence you can hold in your hands, read or glean from personal interviews. That as opposed to evidence which might require blind belief somewhere along the way. In the case of interviews, he'll seriously look for corroboration, rather than simply believing a subject.

Basically, if Rex says it, I'll buy it, because I believe he will have investigated it every which way.

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:207/109
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

*****To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to*****
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN	infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address	infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

*****The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter*****

□